



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_8185
Title of the Manuscript:	Free divisors versus stability and coincidence thresholds
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	No Revision required.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Nil	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is well written. The language of the manuscript is good. Results obtained by the authors are original and important for further research in the subject area. In view of the quality of research of the manuscript, I recommend it for publication in British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science.	Thank you